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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVE
To report the new scientific discoveries in physics, physiology and medicine by one author.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Results of my research are summarized. It is based on 2 clinical studies one prospective and the second case series on hyponatremia (HN) of the transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) syndrome. A physics study on porous orifice (G) tube proves Starling’s law is wrong. I reported a prospective study on nephroptosis revealing its link with the loin pain haematuria syndrome (LPHS) and curative surgery for it.

RESULTS
Two physics and two physiological discoveries are reported. Acute HN presents as shock during surgery. It is induced by massive a gain of sodium-free fluid recognized as a volumetric overload shock (VOS). Features of the multiple organ dysfunction syndrome occur, include ARDS, Acute renal failure (ARF) and Coma. The case series demonstrated mistaking VOS for a known shock and treating it with further volume expansion cause death. Correct diagnoses as VOS and treating it with hypertonic sodium is lifesaving. The physics study on the G tube demonstrated that proximal, akin to arterial, pressure induces suction not filtration, producing the hydrodynamic phenomenon that replaces Starling’s law. The link of LPHS with nephroptosis is demonstrated by the IVU 7 significant. The curative surgery for LPHS is renal sympathetic denervation and nephropexy.

CONCLUSION
Dilution HN presents as a shock that is mistaken for known shocks and treated with volume expansion causing death or ARDS. Manifestations include shock, ARDS, ARF and Coma. The correct treatment is hypertonic sodium. The Starling s law has proved wrong. The correct replacement is the hydrodynamics of G tube. The puzzle of LPHS was also resolved.
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INTRODUCTION
This article summarizes the full list of recent scientific discoveries in physics, physiology and medicine made by a single scientific, medical investigator and independent researcher who was fully self-financed supported by a full list of reported articles in reputable open access journals.

THE DISCOVERIES

Physics Discoveries
1. The hydrodynamics of the porous orifice (G) tube [1-4].
2. The Tree Branching Law (TBL) [5-8].

Physiological Discoveries
1. Proving Starling’s law for the capillary-interstitial (ISF) fluid is wrong and providing the correct replacement of the magnetic field like fluid hydrodynamics of the G tube [1-4].
2. The TBL Corrects two misconceptions on capillary physiology [4-8] namely:
   a. Biochemical The cross-section areas of all the capillaries is larger than the aorta.
   b. The red blood cells (RBCs) speed in a capillary is thought “very slow” to allow for the slow perfusion of the capillary-ISF transfer as based on Starling’s forces.

Discoveries
1. Resolving the puzzle of acute dilutional hyponatremia identifying its path-ateiology and finding a successful curative lifesaving therapy for it: The Hypertonic Sodium Therapy (HST) of 5% NaCl and/or 8.4% NaCo3 [9-13].
2. Revealing the effects of volume kinetics on the cardiovascular system pressure [14,15].

Medical Discoveries
1. Discovering two new types of cardiovascular shocks: the volume kinetic shocks or the volumetric overload shocks (VOS) of type one induced by sodium-free fluid and type 2 induced by sodium-based fluid retention [14,15].
2. Resolving the puzzle of the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) by identifying its exact path-ateiology being caused by VOS and a successful therapy of HST [16,17].
3. Resolving the puzzle of the transurethral resection of the prostate syndrome discovering its link with ARDS and finding the successful lifesaving therapy for it like that of acute hyponatremia [9-13].
4. In discovering the above the bridge connecting the physics, physiology, biochemistry, and medicine was constructed [16].
4. On a totally different subject, the patho-ateiology of the loin pain haematuria syndrome (LPHS) was discovered revealing its link with SN, and 100% curative therapy surgery was devised [18,19].
5. A new surgical procedure for the therapy of cancer bladded with orthotopic bladder replacement was reported [20].

Despite multiple and powerful reporting in the literature on my multiply and important scientific discoveries the

The Surgeon - The Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh, Physiology and Urology journals has repeatedly made serious mistakes rejecting the many articles I sent to them. They may ignore my person, but they cannot wrong any of my new discoveries. Here is a summary of my new discoveries to show you how wrong they all are.

My scientific discoveries are many and most important made over the last 32 years of my career life spent in investigating and reporting these articles. The articles recognize 2 new types of shocks and its treatment, proves that Starling’s law for the capillary interstitial fluid transfer is wrong and provides an alternative mechanism: The hydrodynamics of a porous orifice (G) Tube. These discoveries resolve the puzzles of 3 syndromes discovering its patho-aetiology and new successful treatments; namely the transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) syndrome and acute dilution hyponatraemia (HN), the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and the loin pain haematuria syndrome (LPHS). Not only the exact patho-aetiology of these syndromes were discovered, but also successful treatments for it was found. The two new types of vascular shocks are volume kinetic shocks or VOS defined here.

Massive fluid infusions in a short time induce VO) of two types; Type one (VOS1) and Type two (VOS2). VOS1 is induced by sodium-free fluid of 3.5-5 liters in one hour known as the TURP syndrome [5] or hyponatraemic shock. VOS2 may complicate VOS1 or is induced by a massive infusion of sodium-based fluids. VOS2 also complicates fluid therapy in critically ill and presents with ARDS [6]. Volumetric gain of 12-14 liters of sodium-based fluids reported in ARDS.

Two clinical studies to understand the TURP syndrome and recognize VOS were conducted. A prospective study on 100 consecutive TURP patients, of whom ten suffered TURP syndrome5. Volumetric overload was the only significant factor in causing the condition (Table 1 and Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Std. Err</th>
<th>Std. Value</th>
<th>T Value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid Gain (l)</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>1.044</td>
<td>3.721</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolality</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>-0.375</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na+ (C_B)</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.0597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alb (C_B)</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.4809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (C_B)</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>-0.368</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>0.2587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine (C_B)</td>
<td>-4.973E-5</td>
<td>5.975E-5</td>
<td>-0.242</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.4112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Shows the multiple regression analysis of total per-operative fluid gain, drop in measured serum osmolality (OsmM), sodium, albumin, Hb and increase in serum glycine occurring immediately post-operatively in relation to signs of the TURP syndrome. Volumetric gain and hypoosmolality are the only significant factors.

Figure 1: Shows the means and standard deviations of volumetric overload in 10 symptomatic patients presenting with shock and hyponatraemia among 100 consecutive patients during a prospective study on transurethral resection of the prostate. The fluids were of Glycine absorbed (Gly abs), intravenously infused 5% Dextrose (IVI Dext) Total IVI fluids, Total Sodium-free fluid gained (Na Free Gain) and total fluid gain in liters.
The second study was a case series of 23 case cases of the TURP syndrome manifesting as VOS1. Volumetric overload quantity and type is shown in (Figure 2). Three patients died and remaining 20 patients were correctly diagnosed as VOS1 and treated with hypertonic sodium therapy (HST). Each patient passed 4-5 liters of urine followed by recovery from shock and coma. This treatment was successful in curing all patients bringing them back from dead.

A study of the hydrodynamics of the porous orifice (G) tube, comparing it to that of Poiseuille’s tube was done. Measurements of pressures at various parts of a circulatory system incorporating the G tube in a chamber to mimic the capillary-interstitial fluid compartment were done. The effect of changing the proximal (arterial), the distal (venous) pressures and the diameter of the inlet on side pressure of the G tube and chamber pressure as well as the dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube was evaluated. The dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube and surrounding it in a C chamber (Figure 3) provides adequate replacement for Starling’s law. The physiological equivalent of this physics study was done on the hind limbs of sheep. It demonstrated that both saline and plasma induces oedema when run through the vein not the artery, and the arterial pressure causes suction not filtration due to effect of pre-capillary sphincter.

Starling’s hypothesis was based on Poiseuille work on straight uniform brass tubes. Eight decades latter evidence demonstrated that the capillary is a porous narrow orifice (G) tube as it has a pre-capillary sphincter and pores that allow the passage of plasma proteins. As the capillary pores allow the passage of plasma molecules, nullifying the osmotic pressure of plasma proteins, a call for reconsideration of Starling’s hypothesis was previously made but there was no alternative then. The replacement came to light when the hydrodynamics of the G tube were discovered and reported in 2001.

A study of the hydrodynamics of the porous orifice (G) tube, comparing it to that of Poiseuille’s tube was done. Measurements of pressures at various parts of a circulatory system incorporating the G tube in a chamber to mimic the capillary-interstitial fluid compartment were done. The effect of changing the proximal (arterial), the distal (venous) pressures and the diameter of the inlet on side pressure of the G tube and chamber pressure as well as the dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube was evaluated. The dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube and surrounding it in a C chamber (Figure 3) provides adequate replacement for Starling’s law. The physiological equivalent of this physics study was done on the hind limbs of sheep. It demonstrated that both saline and plasma induces oedema when run through the vein not the artery, and the arterial pressure causes suction not filtration due to effect of pre-capillary sphincter.

Starling’s hypothesis was based on Poiseuille work on straight uniform brass tubes. Eight decades latter evidence demonstrated that the capillary is a porous narrow orifice (G) tube as it has a pre-capillary sphincter and pores that allow the passage of plasma proteins. As the capillary pores allow the passage of plasma molecules, nullifying the osmotic pressure of plasma proteins, a call for reconsideration of Starling’s hypothesis was previously made but there was no alternative then. The replacement came to light when the hydrodynamics of the G tube were discovered and reported in 2001.

A study of the hydrodynamics of the porous orifice (G) tube, comparing it to that of Poiseuille’s tube was done. Measurements of pressures at various parts of a circulatory system incorporating the G tube in a chamber to mimic the capillary-interstitial fluid compartment were done. The effect of changing the proximal (arterial), the distal (venous) pressures and the diameter of the inlet on side pressure of the G tube and chamber pressure as well as the dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube was evaluated. The dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube and surrounding it in a C chamber (Figure 3) provides adequate replacement for Starling’s law. The physiological equivalent of this physics study was done on the hind limbs of sheep. It demonstrated that both saline and plasma induces oedema when run through the vein not the artery, and the arterial pressure causes suction not filtration due to effect of pre-capillary sphincter.

Starling’s hypothesis was based on Poiseuille work on straight uniform brass tubes. Eight decades latter evidence demonstrated that the capillary is a porous narrow orifice (G) tube as it has a pre-capillary sphincter and pores that allow the passage of plasma proteins. As the capillary pores allow the passage of plasma molecules, nullifying the osmotic pressure of plasma proteins, a call for reconsideration of Starling’s hypothesis was previously made but there was no alternative then. The replacement came to light when the hydrodynamics of the G tube were discovered and reported in 2001.
the proximal half and turns into positive pressure over the distal half. Incorporating the G tube in a chamber (C), representing the ISF space surrounding a capillary, demonstrated a rapid dynamic magnetic field-like fluid circulation between C and G tube, lumen. Incorporating the G tube and C in a circle model driven by an electric pump induced proximal pressure similar to arterial pressure: causing suction from C into the lumen of G tube. This proves that the arterial pressure causes suction not filtration at the capillary interstitial fluid circulation, and hence Starling’s law is wrong on both forces and equations.

The numbers should read as follows:
1. The inflow pressure pushes fluid through the orifice.
2. Creating fluid jet in the lumen of the G tube.
3. The fluid jet creates negative side pressure gradient causing suction maximal over the proximal part of the G tube near the inlet that sucks fluid into lumen.
4. The side pressure gradient turns positive pushing fluid out of lumen over the distal part maximally near the outlet.
5. Thus, the fluid around G tube inside C moves in magnetic field-like circulation (5) taking an opposite direction to lumen flow of G tube.
6. The inflow pressure 1 and orifice 2 induce the negative side pressure creating the dynamic G-C circulation phenomenon that is rapid, autonomous, and efficient in moving fluid and particles out from the G tube lumen at 4, irrigating C at 5, then sucking it back again at 3.
7. Maintaining net negative energy pressure inside chamber C.

**Note**
The shape of the fluid jet inside the G tube (Cone shaped), having a diameter of the inlet on right hand side and the diameter of the exit at left hand side (G tube diameter). I lost the photo on which the fluid jet was drawn, using tea leaves of fine and coarse sizes that runs in the centre of G tube leaving the outer zone near the wall of G tube clear. This may explain the finding in real capillary of the protein-free (and erythrocyte-free) sub-endothelial zone in the Glycocalyx paradigm (Woodcock and Woodcock 2012) [3]. It was also noted that fine tea leaves exit the distal pores in small amount maintaining a higher concentration in the circulatory system than that in the C chamber- akin to plasma proteins.

The hydrodynamics of the G tube provide adequate, correct replacement for Starling’s law. This illustrates how 2 new types of vascular shocks and a replacement of Starling’s law were discovered that have resolved the puzzles of 3 clinical syndromes of TURP, hyponatraemia and ARDS.

![Figure 4: Shows renal pedicle mapped on a supine IVU film (Horizontal) and erect film (Vertical) limbs of 7 where the renal pedicle is stretched to 3 times its normal length, causing stenosis and ischemia.](image)

On another subject, this article12 reports the overlooked link of Loin Pain Haematuria Syndrome with Symptomatic Nephroposis and the Results of a new curative surgery; Renal Sympathetic Denervation and Nephropexy Surgery. Two new signs, namely; the IVU 7 sign (Figure 4) and tube stretch hypothesis were reported demonstrating that renal pedicle stretch causing vessel stenosis, ischaemia and neuropathy. Surgical treatment was used in 28 patients; 10 had simple nephropexy and 18 had Renal Sympathetic Denervation and Nephropexy Surgery (RSD&N) for severe LPHS. Four of patients
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treated with simple nephropexy had recurrence of LPHS while those who had RSD&N were all cured.

On another subject I reported a surgical point of technique13 for operable cancer, bladder in which “capsule sparing” cystoprostateadenectomy for orthotopic bladder replacement that overcomes the problems of difficult urethral anastomosis, impotence and incontinence.

CONCLUSION

Two new physics discoveries of the G tube hydrodynamics and tree branching law with two related physiological discoveries of proving Starling’s law wrong and correcting two misconceptions on capillary physiology, and 6 new medical discoveries are reported. These resolved the puzzles of dilution HN of the TURP syndrome that presents as shock mistaken for known shocks and treated with volume expansion causing death or ARDS. Manifestations include shock, ARDS, ARF and Coma. The correct treatment is hypertonic sodium therapy. Starling’s law has proved wrong. The correct replacement is the hydrodynamics of G tube. The puzzle of LPHS was also resolved. A new point of technique for bladder replacement was reported.
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